Short communication

L-Theanine and caffeine improve task switching but not intersensory attention or subjective alertness

Suzanne J.L. Einöther [a,*], Vanessa E.G. Martens [a], Jane A. Rycroft [b], Eveline A. De Bruin [a]

[a] Sensation, Perception & Behaviour, Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, Vlaardingen, The Netherlands
[b] Lipton Institute of Tea, Unilever R&D Colworth, Sharnbrook, Bedford, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Tea ingredients L-theanine and caffeine have repeatedly been shown to deliver unique cognitive benefits when consumed in combination. The current randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, cross-over study compared a combination of L-theanine (97 mg) and caffeine (40 mg) to a placebo on two attention tasks and a self-report questionnaire before, and 10 and 60 min after consumption. The combination of L-theanine and caffeine significantly improved attention on a switch task as compared to the placebo, while subjective alertness and intersensory attention were not improved significantly. The results support previous evidence that L-theanine and caffeine in combination can improve attention.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Tea is the second most widely consumed beverage in the world, only being surpassed by water. Traditionally, tea consumption has been associated with mental clarity (Graham, 1992). While anecdotal reports of the cognitive effects of tea are abundant, scientists have only recently started to accumulate evidence for these effects using cognitive tasks (e.g., Hindmarch, Quinlan, Moore, & Parkin, 1998; Hindmarch et al., 2000).

The cognitive effects of tea are commonly attributed to two of its naturally occurring components: caffeine and L-theanine (Bryan, 2008). A typical serving of black tea contains approximately 35–61 mg caffeine per 200 ml. Apart from a general arousing effect, caffeine has been reported to enhance cognitive performance, in particular attention (Smith, 2002). Caffeine, in doses ranging from the equivalent to a cup of tea up to 200–250 mg, has been shown to improve performance on a range of attention measures, such as vigilance, visual search, choice reaction time and selective attention (Christopher, Sutherland, & Smith, 2005; Durlach, 1998; Lieberman, Wurtman, Emde, Roberts, & Coviella, 1987; Lorist, Snel, Kok, & Mulder, 1996; Ruijter, de Ruiter, Snel, & Lorist, 2000; Smith, Sturgess, & Gallagher, 1999). Although few studies have found more pronounced effects of 500 mg caffeine (Bruce, Scott, Lader, & Marks, 1986; Ruijter, Lorist, & Snel, 1999), most studies indicate that effects of caffeine on performance seem to asymptote around 200 mg

(Robelin & Rogers, 1998). In addition to improved performance, caffeine has been shown to affect self-reported mental state, in particular alertness (Christopher et al., 2005; Mumford et al., 1994), concentration (Mumford et al., 1994) and energetic arousal (Quinlan, Lane, & Aspinall, 1997; Quinlan et al., 2000).

Beside caffeine, tea includes the nonproteinic amino acid L-theanine which is found almost exclusively in tea leaves, with a typical serving of black tea containing 4.5–22.5 mg per 200 ml. The effects of L-theanine on cortical activity have been investigated with electroencephalography (EEG) at rest and during task execution. L-Theanine was found to increase EEG alpha activity at rest, indicating more relaxation (Juneja, Chu, Okubi, Nagato, & Yokogoshi, 1999; Nobre, Rao, & Owen, 2008), as well as background alpha desynchronisation and attention-related alpha synchronisation when preparing to focus attention on specific stimuli (Gomez-Ramirez et al., 2007). At the behavioural level however, effects of L-theanine are equivocal. While Rogers, Smith, Heatherley, & Pleydell-Pearce (2008) reported no significant improvements following 200 mg L-theanine, Haskell, Kennedy, Milne, Wesnes, and Scholey (2008) found that 250 mg improved choice reaction time and reaction times on two memory tasks. However, L-theanine also decreased serial subtraction performance and self-reported alertness.

As tea is the most prominent source of L-theanine and it is thus nearly universally consumed in combination with caffeine, studies investigating L-theanine and caffeine in combination are essential to our understanding of the effects of tea. Furthermore, these studies have provided evidence for an interaction between caffeine and L-theanine. For example, L-theanine protects against toxic caffeine doses in the rat brain in vitro (Kimura, Kurita, & Murata, 1975) and

* Corresponding author at: Sensation, Perception & Behaviour, Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, PO Box 114, 3130 AC Vlaardingen, The Netherlands.
E-mail address: Suzanne.Einother@Unilever.com (Suzanne J.L. Einöther).

inhibits excitatory effects of caffeine (Kakuda, Nozawa, Unno, Okamura, & Okai, 2000; Kimura et al., 1975). More recently, evidence for this interaction emerged from human studies in which the amount of L-theanine was increased relative to caffeine to amplify possible effects of L-theanine. Kelly, Gomez-Ramirez, Montesi, and Foxe (2008) found that 100 mg L-theanine in combination with 50 mg caffeine improved accuracy on a visuo-spatial attention task and decreased background alpha activity as measured with EEG as compared to placebo, indicating improved attentional processing. Neither L-theanine nor caffeine alone differed from placebo. Haskell et al. (2008) reported that 250 mg L-theanine in combination with 150 mg caffeine significantly improved sentence verification, simple reaction time, numeric working memory, and delayed word recognition reaction times, as compared to placebo, while caffeine or L-theanine in isolation did not. Moreover, the combination significantly increased feelings of alertness, as compared to placebo. In a study by Owen, Parnell, De Bruin, and Rycroft (2008), both 100 mg L-theanine and 50 mg caffeine in combination and 50 mg caffeine alone improved accuracy on a switch task at 60 and 90 min after consumption respectively. There were no treatment effects on speed. Finally, a similar combination improved switch task accuracy, increased self-reported alertness, and reduced task-induced fatigue as compared to placebo (Giesbrecht, Rycroft, & De Bruin, in preparation).

The studies described above indicate that L-theanine and caffeine improve cognitive performance, attention in particular, and that these effects are most pronounced when both ingredients are combined. The current study aimed to further investigate the enhancing effects of L-theanine and caffeine in combination. Specifically, the aim of this study was twofold. Firstly, we wanted to replicate the effect on the switch task (Owen et al., 2008). Secondly, as the switch task assessed visual attention, we wanted to investigate whether this effect was dependent on perceptual modality by including a paradigm which required switching attention between different modalities and has previously been shown to be sensitive to the effects of L-theanine (Gomez-Ramirez et al., 2007). Previous studies which included L-theanine and/or caffeine in isolation (Haskell et al., 2008; Kelly et al., 2008; Owen et al., 2008) indicated that the L-theanine/caffeine combination had synergistic effects. Moreover, L-theanine is virtually exclusively consumed in combination with caffeine. Therefore it was decided to specifically compare an L-theanine/caffeine combination to a placebo. In line with prior studies, we increased the amount of L-theanine, relative to caffeine, to amplify possible effects of L-theanine.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-nine healthy participants (11 males), aged between 18 and 45 years (M = 30.6, SD = 8.9) took part in the study. Inclusion criteria were regular caffeinated tea and/or coffee consumption, non-smoking, regular breakfast consumption, and a BMI between 20 and 30. The participants consumed on average 11.7 (SD = 6.7) caffeinated drinks per week and had a mean BMI of 25.1 (SD = 2.6). Exclusion criteria were allergies to caffeine, artificial sweeteners, or herbal supplements, colour blindness, dyslexia, pregnancy, breast-feeding, and use of recreational drugs and medication with the exception of the contraceptive pill. The study was approved by the Unilever Colworth Research Ethics Committee. Participants signed an informed consent form and were paid for their participation.

Design

The study employed a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, cross-over design with drink (active L-theanine/caffeine drink vs. placebo) and time (baseline, 10 min and 60 min post-drink) as within participant factors. The order of drinks was randomized. Participants attended two test occasions, separated by at least 6 and no more than 14 days, during which they consumed either the active drink or the placebo and completed a test battery three times. Each test occasion was preceded by a brief training session to minimize learning effects.

Drinks

The active drink was prepared by dissolving synthetically produced L-theanine (Suntheanine™; Taiyo Kagaku Co. Ltd., Yokkaichi, Japan), pharmaceutical grade caffeine and iced tea powder into 500 ml of mineral water. The iced tea powder contained colourings and tea flavourings, as well as sweeteners (sucralose) and lemon flavour to mask the taste of caffeine. The placebo drink matched the active drink except for the absence of L-theanine and caffeine. The active drink delivered on average 97 mg L-theanine and 40 mg caffeine.

Cognitive test battery

Each 40-min session consisted of two tests of attention and a mood questionnaire. Tests are described in the order in which they were performed.

Intersensory attention task (Foxe, Simpson, & Ahlfors, 1998). This task measures the ability to selectively deploy attention to stimuli presented in different sensory modalities (visual and auditory) and was chosen to investigate whether the effect of the L-theanine/caffeine combination is dependent on the visual modality as opposed to a general attention effect. This task has been shown to be sensitive to the effects of L-theanine (Gomez-Ramirez et al., 2007).

During each trial, a cue was presented which instructed participants to which modality they would need to attend to. This cue was followed by a pair of visual stimuli (Gabor patches that could differ in orientation) and/or auditory stimuli (tones that could differ in pitch), with a stimulus onset asynchrony of 1200 ms. Stimuli were presented in isolation (unisensory) or in combination (intersensory). Participants were required to perform a discrimination task on stimuli in the cued modality. The intertrial interval randomly varied between 1400 and 2400 ms. Total task duration was 30 min.

Switch task (Rogers & Monsell, 1995). This task measures executive control, particularly the ability to shift between different task sets (i.e., task switching). This task has been shown to be sensitive to the effects of the L-theanine/caffeine combination (Owen et al., 2008).

During each trial, a letter and number were simultaneously presented in red or purple. The letter was randomly drawn from a set of four vowels (A, E, I, U) and four consonants (G, K, M, R), the number from a set of four even (2, 4, 6, 8) and four odd numbers (3, 5, 7, 9). If stimuli were purple, participants were required to press spacebar if an even number was presented; if the stimuli were red, they were required to respond if a vowel was presented. In all other cases participants were to withhold their response. Stimulus colours and the associated task set changed every three trials. Intertrial intervals were 1700 ms. Total task duration was 10 min.

Bond–Lader visual analogue scales (Bond & Lader, 1974). These scales comprise of 16 bipolar items consisting of adjective pairs (e.g. Tense/Relaxed). Participants use a 100 mm line to indicate how they feel on the dimension defined by the two adjectives. These scales have been shown to posses sound psychometric properties and factor analysis has revealed three distinct factors: alertness, contentedness, and calmness. Furthermore, these scales have been found to be sensitive to the effects of L-theanine and caffeine in combination (Giesbrecht et al., in preparation; Haskell et al., 2008).

Procedure

Participants arrived at the laboratory at 9.00 a.m., after abstaining from caffeine-containing products, alcohol, and mushrooms (some boletes contain L-theanine) from 9.00 p.m. the previous day. Compliance was checked by means of a self-report checklist. Participants completed a baseline measurement, followed by a 10 min break during which they consumed the drink, and two test sessions starting 10 and 60 min post-drink.

Analysis

Subjective mood scores and reaction times on the attention tests were analysed using a 2×2 mixed model ANOVA with drink (active drink vs. placebo) and session (10–50 vs. 60–100 min post-drink) as within-subject factors. The proportion of correct responses were analysed with a logistic regression-based Generalised Linear Mixed Model, with aforementioned within-subject factors. For the switch task, trial type (1st, 2nd, 3rd trial after a switch between task sets) and response type (correct hit, correct withhold) were additional within-subject factors.

All analyses employed baseline scores and drink order as covariates. Statistical tests were two-sided with alpha = 0.05. In case of significant main or interaction effects involving treatment, the analysis was rerun with habitual caffeine consumption as an additional covariate. Standard error and degrees of freedom were estimated by the Kenward–Roger method.

Results

Intersensory attention task

On the unisensory auditory subtask, there was a trend for a main effect of drink on reaction times ($F(1,23.2) = 3.58$, $p = 0.071$) with participants tending to respond faster after the active drink as compared to placebo. On the intersensory visual subtask, there was a tendency for a drink by session interaction for reaction times ($F(1,52) = 3.21$, $p = 0.079$) indicating that after the active drink but not after placebo, participants tended to respond faster at 60–100 min post-drink. The proportion of correct responses did not differ significantly between conditions.

Switch task

Mean switch task accuracy for both drinks 10–50 and 60–100 min post-drink, is presented in Fig. 1. The main effect of drink ($F(1,24.56) = 10.71$, $p = 0.003$) indicates a higher proportion of correct responses after the active drink as compared to placebo. This effect remained significant after including habitual caffeine intake as a covariate, indicating that this improvement was not simply due to relief of caffeine withdrawal. Fig. 2 displays mean accuracy for both drinks for response type (i.e., respond vs. withhold). There was a significant interaction between drink and response type ($F(1,665) = 18.38$, $p < 0.001$) indicating a more pronounced effect of the active drink on correct hits ($t(38.3) = 4.52$, $p < 0.0001$) than on correct withholds ($t(29.9) = 1.41$, n.s.). Worthy of note is that as the proportion of correct withholds was not impaired, a shift in response bias cannot account for the effect on correct hits.

Reaction times did not differ significantly between conditions. This indicates that the effect of the active drink on accuracy is not due to a speed/accuracy trade-off. The drink by trial type (1st, 2nd, 3rd trial after a switch between task sets) interaction was not significant, signifying that the active drink did not affect switch costs.


Fig. 1. Significantly greater proportion of correct responses on switch task relative to baseline after consuming the active drink than after placebo. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.


Fig. 2. Significantly greater proportion of correct responses on switch task after the active drink was more marked for correct hits than for correct withholds. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.

Bond–Lader visual analogue scales

Participants tended to feel more alert after the placebo compared to the active drink as evidenced by a trend towards a main effect of drink ($F(1,22.5) = 3.82$, $p = 0.063$). Self-rated calmness and contentedness did not show a main effect of drink.

Discussion

The main findings of the present study can be summarized as follows. First, this study provided further evidence that the L-theanine/caffeine combination improves attention on the switch task. The improvement in accuracy in combination with unaltered response speed is in line with previous studies (Giesbrecht et al., in preparation; Kelly et al., 2008). Second, tentative evidence was found that the effect of the combination on attention is not specific to the visual modality, as we found faster responses in both the visual and auditory modality on the intersensory task as compared to placebo. Third, improvements in attention as signified by the behavioural tasks were not associated with enhanced subjective alertness. This was not in line with previous studies the L-theanine\caffeine combination (Giesbrecht et al., in preparation; Haskell et al., 2008; Owen et al., 2008).

The finding that ʟ-theanine and caffeine in combination clearly improved task switching while intersensory attention was only marginally improved raises questions about the specificity of the attention effect. In the context of Posner's model of attention, which discriminates between three aspects of attention (i.e. alerting, orienting, and executive control; Posner and Petersen, 1990), the switch task is considered an example of a task assessing executive control as it involves response inhibition. However, as switch costs were not affected by the drink, it could be also argued that alerting (i.e. sensitivity to incoming target stimuli) was improved rather than executive control. The intersensory attention task on the other hand is considered to predominantly tap into the orienting aspect of attention as it involves the selection of specific information from multiple sensory inputs. The current results therefore seem to suggest that the ʟ-theanine/caffeine combination improves executive control/alerting rather than orienting. To the best of our knowledge, self-reported alertness has not been characterized in terms of alerting, orienting and executive control, hence precluding interpretation in the light of Posner's model.

These interpretational ambiguities notwithstanding, evidence indicates that the ʟ-theanine/caffeine combination influences attention. The findings cannot be accounted for by a general increase in arousal, as demonstrated by the lack of improvement in self-reported alertness. Notably, this is in contrast to the effect of caffeine in isolation. By and large there is consensus that caffeine improves basic cognitive functions (e.g., simple reaction times, vigilance) by increasing arousal via modulating adenosine receptor activation in the brain, while leaving higher-order functions unaffected (Smith, 2002). Although two recent studies have shown that a high dose of caffeine may improve aspects of executive control (Brunye, Mahoney, Lieberman, & Taylor, in press; Tieges et al., 2006), the totality of the evidence is equivocal. Thus, whereas caffeine predominantly yields arousing effects, a high dose of ʟ-theanine may counteract these effects, therefore allowing for improved performance on higher-order tasks as employed in the current study. A case in point is that although caffeine is known to increase blood pressure, a high dose of ʟ-theanine counteracted these arousing effects (Rogers et al., 2008).

The main limitation of the present study is that it did not include ʟ-theanine or caffeine in isolation and we therefore cannot conclude that the combination produces greater attention benefits, than ʟ-theanine or caffeine in isolation. Yet the robust synergistic effects found in previous studies make this very likely (Haskell et al., 2008; Kelly et al., 2008; Owen et al., 2008).

In conclusion, the present study showed that the ʟ-theanine/caffeine combination improves attention as measured with the switch task, and to a lesser degree with the intersensory attention task. Taken together with the previous studies, we conclude that a high dose of ʟ-theanine combined with caffeine, at the level of a single cup of tea, can help to improve attention.

Acknowledgments

References

Bond, A., & Lader, M. (1974). The use of analogue scales in rating subjective feelings. British Journal of Psychology, 47, 211–218.
Bruce, M., Scott, N., Lader, M., & Marks, V. (1986). The psychopharmacological and electrophysiological effects of single doses of caffeine in healthy human subjects. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 22, 81–87.
Brunye, T. T., Mahoney, C. R., Lieberman, H. R., & Taylor H. A. (in press). Caffeine modulates attention network function. Brain & Cognition.
Bryan, J. (2008). Psychological effects of dietary components of tea: caffeine and ʟ-theanine. Nutrition Reviews, 66, 82–90.
Christopher, G., Sutherland, D., & Smith, A. (2005). Effects of caffeine in non-withdrawn volunteers. Human Psychopharmacology, 20, 47–53.
Durlach, P. J. (1998). The effects of a low dose of caffeine on cognitive performance. Psychopharmacology, 140, 116–119.
Foxe, J. J., Simpson, G. V., & Ahlfors, S. P. (1998). Parieto-occipital ~10 Hz activity reflects anticipatory state of visual attention mechanisms. Neuroreport, 9, 3929–3933.
Giesbrecht, T., Rycroft, J. A., & De Bruin, E. A. (in preparation). The combination of ʟ-theanine and caffeine improves cognitive performance and increases subjective alertness.
Gomez-Ramirez, M., Higgins, B. A., Rycroft, J. A., Owen, G. N., Mahoney, J., Shpaner, M., et al. (2007). The deployment of intersensory selective attention: a high-density electrical mapping study of the effects of theanine. Clinical Neuropharmacology, 30, 25–38.
Graham, H. N. (1992). Green tea composition, consumption, and polyphenol chemistry. Preventive Medicine, 21, 334–350.
Haskell, C. F., Kennedy, D. O., Milne, A. L., Wesnes, K. A., & Scholey, A. B. (2008). The effects of ʟ-theanine, caffeine and their combination on cognition and mood. Biological Psychology, 77, 113–122.
Hindmarch, I., Quinlan, P. T., Moore, K. L., & Parkin, C. (1998). The effects of black tea and other beverages on aspects of cognition and psychomotor performance. Psychopharmacology, 139, 230–238.
Hindmarch, I., Rigney, U., Stanley, N., Quinlan, P., Rycroft, J., & Lane, J. (2000). A naturalistic investigation of the effects of day-long consumption of tea, coffee and water on alertness, sleep onset and sleep quality. Psychopharmacology, 149, 203–216.
Juneja, L. R., Chu, D. C., Okubi, T., Nagato, Y., & Yokogoshi, H. (1999). ʟ-Theanine – a unique amino acid of green tea and its relaxation effect in humans. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 10, 199–204.
Kakuda, T., Nozawa, A., Unno, T., Okamura, N., & Okai, O. (2000). Inhibiting effects of theanine on caffeine stimulation evaluated by EEG in the rat. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, 64, 287–293.
Kelly, S. P., Gomez-Ramirez, M., Montesi, J., & Foxe, J. J. (2008). ʟ-Theanine and caffeine in combination affect human cognition as evidenced by oscillatory alpha-band activity and attention task performance. Journal of Nutrition, 138, 1572S–1577S.
Kimura, K., Kurita, M., & Murata, T. (1975). Influence of alkylamides of glutamic acid and related compounds on the central nervous system. III. Effect of theanine on spontaneous activity of mice. Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, 95, 892–895.
Lieberman, H. R., Wurtman, R. J., Emde, G. G., Roberts, C., & Coviella, I. L. (1987). The effects of low doses of caffeine on human performance and mood. Psychopharmacology, 92, 308–312.
Lorist, M. M., Snel, J., Kok, A., & Mulder, G. (1996). Acute effects of caffeine on selective attention and visual search processes. Psychophysiology, 33, 354–361.
Mumford, G. K., Evans, S. M., Kaminski, B. J., Preston, K. L., Sannerud, C. A., Silverman, K., et al. (1994). Discriminative stimulus and subjective effects of theobromine and caffeine in humans. Psychopharmacology, 115, 1–8.
Nobre, A. C., Rao, A., & Owen, G. N. (2008). ʟ-Theanine, a natural constituent in tea, and its effect on mental state. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 17, 167–168.
Owen, G. N., Parnell, H., De Bruin, E. A., & Rycroft, J. A. (2008). The combined effects of ʟ-theanine and caffeine on cognitive performance and mood. Nutritional Neuroscience, 11, 193–198.
Posner, M. I., & Petersen, S. E. (1990). The attention system of the human brain. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 13, 25–42.
Quinlan, P., Lane, J., & Aspinall, L. (1997). Effects of hot tea, coffee and water ingestion on physiological responses and mood: the role of caffeine, water and beverage type. Psychopharmacology, 134, 164–173.
Quinlan, P. T., Lane, J., Moore, K. L., Aspen, J., Rycroft, J. A., & O'Brien, D. C. (2000). The acute physiological and mood effects of tea and coffee: the role of caffeine level. Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behaviour, 66, 19–28.
Robelin, M., & Rogers, P. J. (1998). Mood and psychomotor performance effects of the first, but not of subsequent, cup-of-coffee equivalent doses of caffeine consumed after overnight caffeine abstinence. Behavioural Pharmacology, 9, 611–618.
Rogers, P. J., Smith, J. E., Heatherley, S. V., & Pleydell-Pearce, C. W. (2008). Time for tea: mood, blood pressure and cognitive performance effects of caffeine and theanine administered alone and together. Psychopharmacology, 195, 569–577.
Rogers, R. D., & Monsell, S. (1995). Costs of a predictable switch between simple cognitive tasks. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 124, 207–231.
Ruijter, J., de Ruiter, M. B., Snel, J., & Lorist, M. M. (2000). The influence of caffeine on spatial-selective attention: an event-related potential study. Clinical Neurophysiology, 111, 2223–2233.
Ruijter, J., Lorist, M. M., & Snel, J. (1999). The influence of different doses of caffeine on visual task performance. Journal of Psychophysiology, 13, 37–48.
Smith, A. (2002). Effects of caffeine on human behaviour. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 40, 1243–1255.
Smith, A., Sturgess, W., & Gallagher, J. (1999). Effects of a low dose of caffeine given in different drinks on mood and performance. Human Psychopharmacology Clinical, 14, 473–482.
Tieges, Z., Snel, J., Kok, A., Wijnen, J. G., Lorist, M. M., & Richard, R. K. (2006). Caffeine improves anticipatory processes in task switching. Biological Psychology, 73, 101–113.